

Call In Request Form

Decision taken by: Cabinet

Date of Decision - 14 June 2016 Agenda Item 6 page 11

Title of agenda item/report - Review of Available Walking Routes to School

Reason for Call In**

Relevant information not considered.

Ward Councillors in who represent the seven schools noted in the report have information in relation to the walking routes that has not been considered.

Viable alternatives have not been considered.

This Review has not been given consideration at Children and Families Overview & Scrutiny Committee. Members are requesting that Children and Families Overview & Scrutiny Committee be given opportunity to scrutinise and offer advice from the new information that the Ward Councillors have received.

The decision taken by Cabinet could be open to challenge.

Recognising that there will be a twelve week notice period for parents and carers to comment on possible changes to the children's transport arrangements, this is not a consultation. It is therefore necessary, as the Chair of Children and Families Overview & Scrutiny Committee said at Cabinet, that we should examine this Review. As good practice and in the interests of the council's responsibility within its road safety policy to our children, scrutiny should have the opportunity to comment. It must be noted that information given at Cabinet in relation to the Children and Families work programme was incorrect - at this time the committee does not have the Review of Routes to school on its agenda.

Call In Signatories (to be signed by 6 Members)

Signed Councillor Dorothy Flude Councillor Steve Hogben

Signed Councillor Arthur Moran Councillor Amanda Stott

Signed Councillor Sarah Pochin Councillor Jonathon Weston

Date of call in Notice 20/6/16

*****The Call-in rules as set out in the constitution stipulate that any 6 or more Members of the Council may submit a call-in notice in writing within 5 days of the decision being taken and recorded for one of the reasons set out below. Members should consider the following criteria AND explain how any of them apply. Failure to provide sufficient detail may lead to the call in being refused:***

- (1) Decision is taken outside the policy/budgetary framework*
- (2) Inadequate consultation relating to the decision*
- (3) Relevant information not considered*
- (4) Viable alternatives not considered*
- (5) Justification for the decision open to challenge on the basis of the evidence considered*

Head of Legal Services and Monitoring Officer Signature